

REMARKS

Claims 1-11, 15, 17 and 18 are pending and under active consideration in the instant application. Claim 7 has been cancelled without prejudice. Applicants reserve the right to pursue cancelled subject matter in one or more related applications. Claim 17 has been amended to delete dependency from a withdrawn claim. Claims 3, 5, 6, 8, 9 and 18 have been amended to clarify the subject matter of the present claims.

Support for the claim amendments can be found in the specification. Support for the amendment to claim 2 can be found, *inter alia*, at paragraphs [0030], [0037], and [0046]. Support for the amendment to claim 3 can be found, *inter alia*, at paragraphs [0024], [0037], and [0377]. Support for the amendment to claim 5 can be found, *inter alia*, at paragraphs [0038] and [0377]. Support for the amendment to claim 6 can be found, *inter alia*, at paragraph [0058]. Support for the amendment to claims 8 and 9 can be found, *inter alia*, at paragraphs [0039] and [0377]. Support for the amendment to claim 18, can be found, *inter alia*, at paragraphs [0377] and [0378] of the specification. No new matter has been added.

Applicants request that the amendments and remarks made herein be entered and made of record in the file of the above-identified application. Following entry of the amendments made herein, claims 1-6, 8-11, 15, 17, and 18 will be pending and under active consideration in the instant application.

THE REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112, SECOND PARAGRAPH, SHOULD BE WITHDRAWN

The Examiner has rejected claims 3, 5-11 and 18 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the invention.

In particular, the Examiner has rejected claim 3 as allegedly indefinite for reciting that the claimed composition has a “50% fiber slurry” wherein the amount of the polymer in the slurry is not stated. Applicants respectfully disagree. The use of the term “slurry” in the instant application is in full accord with its commonly understood meaning, *e.g.*, “a watery mixture of insoluble matter” as set forth in Exhibit A, Webster’s Ninth New Collegiate Dictionary (1987). One of skill in the art can readily determine an appropriate amount of fiber that when mixed with liquid will generate a slurry. Moreover, the specification provides examples of amounts of fiber that may be mixed with distilled water or a NaCl solution to form the slurry (*see, e.g.*, paragraphs [0127] and [0377] of the specification). The composition of claim 3 thus comprises 50% of poly- β -1 \rightarrow 4-N-acetylglucosamine polymer

fiber slurry, that is 50% of a mixture of poly- β -1 \rightarrow 4-N-acetylglucosamine polymer fiber and liquid that is in the form of a slurry. Accordingly, Applicants submit that, as interpreted in light of the specification by one of skill in the art, the phrase is not indefinite.

The Examiner has rejected claim 5 as allegedly indefinite for inclusion of the phrase “at least 0.125% CaCl₂ solution,” wherein the method of calculation of the percentage is not defined. Applicants respectfully disagree. Applicants submit that because the claim recites the percentage of a solution in the composition, one of skill in the art would interpret said percentage to be calculated on a volume/volume basis in accordance with common scientific practice of mixing two liquids on a volume/volume basis. Thus, one of skill in the art can readily determine the metes and bounds of the percentage recitation in claim 5 and, accordingly, this claim is not indefinite.

The Examiner has also rejected 6-9 and 18 for inclusion of a percentage term, wherein the method of calculation of the percentage is not defined.

Applicants respectfully disagree. First, Applicants note that claim 7 has been cancelled without prejudice, rendering the rejection moot. To avoid any potential ambiguity with respect to claims 8 and 9, Applicants have amended the claims to recite a 0.9% NaCl solution. Applicants submit that one of skill in the art would have interpreted the phrase “1.0 ml of a 0.9% NaCl” to refer to a NaCl solution; thus, the amendment does not narrow the scope of the claims.

Turning to the rejection at hand, claims 6 and 18 recite “10% CaCl₂” and claims 8 and 9 recite “0.9% NaCl.” Applicants submit that because each of claims 6, 8, 9 and 18 recites a percentage of a salt in a solution, one of skill in the art would interpret said percentage to be calculated on a weight/volume basis in accordance with common scientific practice of mixing salt and liquid on a weight/volume basis. Thus, one of skill in the art can readily determine the metes and bounds of the percentages in claims 6, 8, 9 and 18 and, accordingly, these claims are not indefinite.

The Examiner has rejected claim 18 for inclusion of the phrase, “in the presence of a 10% calcium chloride solution,” arguing that it is doubtful that the final composition of the gel comprises 10g of calcium chloride per 100 ml of gel. Applicants respectfully disagree. The method of claim 18 requires only that a sufficient amount of a 10% calcium chloride solution be present during the mixing of isolated platelets and poly- β -1 \rightarrow 4-N-

acetylglucosamine polymer fiber slurry to produce a platelet-poly- β -1 \rightarrow 4-N-acetylglucosamine polymer fiber gel; it does not require that the final composition comprise 10% calcium chloride. Applicants have amended claim 18 in order to clarify that the calcium chloride be “in an amount effective to elicit formation of a platelet-poly- β -1 \rightarrow 4-N-acetylglucosamine polymer fiber gel.” This requirement was implicit in claim 18 as originally filed; thus, the amendment does not narrow the scope of the claims.

The recitation that the calcium chloride be in an amount effective to elicit formation of a platelet-poly- β -1 \rightarrow 4-N-acetylglucosamine polymer fiber gel does not render claim 18 indefinite. Using the specification for guidance, for example, following the teachings of paragraph [0378] one of skill in the art can readily determine an amount of 10% calcium chloride solution that is appropriately used in the method of gel formation of claim 18. Moreover, the specification exemplifies a suitable amount of 10% calcium chloride in the present methods, such as 0.125 ml of 10% calcium chloride per 5 ml of each of PRP and poly- β -1 \rightarrow 4-N-acetylglucosamine polymer fiber slurry (*see, e.g.*, paragraph [0377]). Thus, one of skill in the art can readily determine the metes and bounds of claim 18 and, accordingly, claim 18 is not indefinite.

In view of the foregoing, Applicants submit that the rejection of claims 3, 5-6, 8-11 and 18 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 is in error and should be withdrawn.

THE PRESENT INVENTION

The present invention, as embodied in independent claims 1, 8, 9 and 18, is drawn to compositions comprising poly- β -1 \rightarrow 4-N-acetylglucosamine polymer and platelets, as well as to methods of their manufacture and/or use. The methods of the claimed invention permit the storage of platelets which would otherwise be destroyed, and result in compositions that have many therapeutic applications.

Claims 1, 8 and 9 are directed to various aspects of the compositions of the invention, and claim 18 is directed to a method of making a composition of the invention which is in the form of a gel. In claim 1, the poly- β -1 \rightarrow 4-N-acetylglucosamine polymer is purified; in claims 8 and 9, the poly- β -1 \rightarrow 4-N-acetylglucosamine polymer is in the form of fiber, and in claim 18 the poly- β -1 \rightarrow 4-N-acetylglucosamine polymer is in the form of a polymer fiber solution.

THE REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) SHOULD BE WITHDRAWN

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-11 and 18 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as anticipated by Okamoto *et al.*, 2002, Science 5:643-649 (“Okamoto”) in light of Veech, U.S. Patent No. 4,663,289 (“Veech”) and Male *et al.*, U.S. Patent No. 5,292,524 (“Male”). Applicants submit that the rejection under Okamoto is in error for the reasons set forth below.

A claim is anticipated under 35 U.S.C. § 102 only if each and every element and limitation as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly described or inherently present, in a single prior art reference. *Glaxo, Inc. v. Novopharm Ltd.*, 52 F.3d 1043, 1047 (Fed. Cir. 1995). There must be *no differences* between the claimed invention and the reference disclosure as viewed by a person of ordinary skill in the field of the invention. *Scripps Clinic & Research Fdn. v. Genentech, Inc.* 927 F.2d. 1565, 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1991).

Okamoto discloses the use of chitin and chitosan as a means of activating or aggregating freshly-obtained platelets. However, Okamoto does not disclose a composition comprising poly- β -1 \rightarrow 4-N-acetylglucosamine polymer in any shape or form, and for at least this reason does not anticipate claims 1, 8, or 9. Nor does Okamoto disclose a method of making a gel comprising platelets and poly- β -1 \rightarrow 4-N-acetylglucosamine polymer, and for at least this reason does not anticipate claim 18.

By way of explanation, poly- β -1 \rightarrow 4-N-acetylglucosamine polymer is a different material from chitin and chitosan. In particular, as made clear in the specification, chitin and chitosan as used in the art ambiguously refer to a family of compounds which differ widely in terms of physical and chemical properties, exhibiting varying molecular weights, varying degrees of acetylation and species-specific contaminants (*see* specification, *e.g.*, at paragraph [0003]). It is also clear from the claims that poly- β -1 \rightarrow 4-N-acetylglucosamine polymer is distinct from chitin and chitosan: for example, independent claim 18 requires that platelets bind to the pGlcNAc polymer fibers in greater numbers relative to the amount of platelets that would bind an equivalent amount of chitosan fibers. Thus, Okamoto’s teaching of the use of chitin or chitosan to activate or activate freshly-obtained platelets is not a teaching of a method of making a gel comprising poly- β -1 \rightarrow 4-N-acetylglucosamine polymer and platelets, as claimed in independent claim 18, nor is it teaching of a composition comprising poly- β -1 \rightarrow 4-N-acetylglucosamine polymer and platelets, as claimed in independent claims 1, 8 and 9. Accordingly, Okamoto does not anticipate any of the independent claims.

Okamoto does not anticipate claims 1, 8 and 9 for at least one additional reason. The embodiment of the invention as claimed in independent claims 1, 8 and 9 relate to the use of preserved platelets. Okamoto does not anticipate independent claims 1, 8 and 9 because the reference does not disclose the use of preserved platelets. In Okamoto, PRP or washed platelets for use in the studies were isolated from fresh whole blood. Okamoto does not discuss any storage of the PRP or platelets between isolation and use. Thus, Okamoto does not disclose the use of preserved platelets as required by independent claims 1, 8 and 9.

Moreover, the embodiment of the invention as claimed in dependent claim 10 is directed to a pharmaceutical composition. In Okamoto, all studies were conducted *ex vivo* in test tubes. Okamoto's studies of mixing chitin or chitosan with platelets or platelet-rich plasma were performed experimentally *in vitro*, yielding compositions that are not necessarily suitable for pharmaceutical use, and Okamoto does not expressly disclose a composition that is a pharmaceutical composition. Thus, Okamoto does not anticipate a pharmaceutical composition as claimed claim 10.

Okamoto also does not anticipate claims 6 and 18 for at least one additional reason. The embodiment of the invention as claimed in independent claim 18 relate to a method for producing a platelet-poly- β -1 \rightarrow 4-N-acetylglucosamine polymer fiber gel, and employs calcium chloride solution in an amount effective to elicit formation of such a gel. The Examiner cites U.S. Patent No. 4,663,289 to Veech ("Veech") and U.S. Patent No. 5,292,524 to Male ("Male") in support of her position that Okamoto anticipates the present claims. Veech relates to cell culture/preservation media and Male relates to the use of platelets as therapeutic agents. As noted by the Examiner, Veech and Male respectively describe the calcium content of blood plasma and modified Tyrode's Buffer used in Okamoto. In particular, Tables I and II of Veech state that plasma has 1.06 mM free calcium ions, and Male states that modified Tyrode's buffer has 0.14g/l of calcium chloride, *i.e.*, approximately 0.014% calcium chloride. Thus, Okamoto never mixes a population of isolated platelets with poly- β -1 \rightarrow 4-N-acetylglucosamine polymer fiber slurry in the presence of a 10% calcium chloride solution, as recited in claim 18. Thus, while there may have been calcium present in the composition produced in the studies of Okamoto, there is nothing to suggest that the calcium was present in an amount effective to elicit formation of a gel. Thus, the calcium present in Okamoto's method does not inherently anticipate the use of the amount of calcium recited in claim 18. Similarly, because Okamoto does not describe a composition comprising

0.125% of a 10% calcium chloride solution, the calcium present in the composition produced by Okamoto's studies cannot anticipate the composition of claim 6.

For at least the foregoing reasons, Okamoto does not teach each and every element of claims 1,6, 8, 9, 10 and 18. Accordingly, Okamoto cannot anticipate the claims. Because Okamoto does not anticipate any of independent claims 1, 8, 9, and 18, the reference also does not any claim dependent from these claims.

Applicants therefore respectfully submit that the rejection of claims 1-11 and 18 as anticipated by Okamoto in light of Veech and Male is in error and should be withdrawn.

THE REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) SHOULD BE WITHDRAWN

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-11, 15, 17 and 18 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Okamoto or U.S. Patent No. 5,614,204 to Cochrum ("Cochrum") in combination with U.S. Patent No. 5,858,350 to Vournakis *et al.*, ("Vournakis") in light of Veech and Male. Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner for the reasons presented below.

A finding of obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) requires a determination that the differences between the claimed subject matter and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. *Graham v. Deere*, 383 U.S. 1 (1966). The relevant inquiry is whether the prior art suggests the invention and whether the prior art provides one of ordinary skill in the art with a reasonable expectation of success. *In re O'Farrell*, 853 F.2d 894 (Fed. Cir. 1988). Both the suggestion and the reasonable expectation of success must be found in the prior art. *In re Vaeck*, 947 F.2d 488 (Fed. Cir. 1991). When a rejection depends on a combination of prior art references, there must be some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to combine the references. *In re Rouffet*, 149 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 1998). Further, the prior art reference (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all the claim limitations. *In re Royka*, 490 F.2d 981 (CCPA 1974).

The Rejection over Okamoto and Vournakis in view of Veech and Male

In Okamoto, the effect of chitin or chitosan particle suspensions on platelet compositions was determined (*see* Okamoto Abstract and Experimental section, page 643, lines 2-3). Contact of platelets with the chitin or chitosan suspensions was found to induce both aggregation and activation of platelets.

Okamoto shows that chitin and chitosan elicit activation or aggregation of platelets. In Okamoto, a composition comprising chitin or chitosan and platelets is a byproduct of the study. Nowhere does Okamoto provide a teaching or suggestion for the use of such a composition. At most, Okamoto hints at the use of chitin or chitosan as a coagulation or activation agent in itself. Thus, Okamoto does not provide any motivation for making a composition comprising chitin or chitosan and platelets, much less the motivation for combining poly- β -1 \rightarrow 4-N-acetylglucosamine polymer and platelets for therapeutic or platelet preservation applications. Thus, Okamoto does render obvious the presently claimed invention.

Vournakis does not remedy the deficiencies of Okamoto. Vournakis is directed to the use of poly- β -1 \rightarrow 4-N-acetylglucosamine as a hemostatic device. Thus, Vournakis teaches the application of a poly- β -1 \rightarrow 4-N-acetylglucosamine to a patient, to stop bleeding, but does not suggest any or provide any motivation for making a composition of poly- β -1 \rightarrow 4-N-acetylglucosamine with platelets.

Neither Veech nor Male remedy the deficiencies of Okamoto alone or in combination with Vournakis. Veech relates to cell culture/preservation media and Male relates to the use of platelets as therapeutic agents. Neither teaches nor relates to the use or manufacture of a composition of poly- β -1 \rightarrow 4-N-acetylglucosamine with platelets.

Applicants therefore respectfully submit that the rejection of claims 1-11, 15, 17 and 18 over Okamoto in combination with Vournakis in view of Veech and Male is in error and should be withdrawn.

The Rejection over Cochrum and Vournakis in view of Veech and Male

In Cochrum, biopolymers, including chitosan, are combined with PRP and the combination administered intravenously in the proximity of bleeding, a rupture, a fistula, etc. to form an occlusive clot. As discussed *supra*, Vournakis, while teaching the benefits of over chitin and chitosan, does not teach or suggest a composition comprising pGlcNAc and platelets, much less suggest that such a composition.

The Examiner's obviousness rejection is predicated on the notion that one of skill in the art would look beyond the teachings of Cochrum and identify poly- β -1 \rightarrow 4-N-acetylglucosamine as a substitute for the biopolymers disclosed therein. The Court of Customs and Patent Appeals addressed a similar situation in *In re Herschler*, 591

F.2d 693, 200 U.S.P.Q. 711 (CCPA 1979), when it held that the Board had wrongly rejected the appealed claims as obvious. In *Herschler*, the applicant taught the use of DMSO to enhance transdermal penetration of a number of compounds, and claimed the process of applying to the skin a mixture comprising DMSO and a physiologically active steroid. 591 F.2d at 695, 200 U.S.P.Q. at 712. The Board rejected the claims as obvious over a primary reference (the Lubowe patent), which disclosed a hair lotion containing an estrogenic hormone and a solubilizing agent other than DMSO, combined with a secondary reference (Faust), which taught that DMSO is a safe and effective solubilizing agent for cosmetic or dermatologic use. The CCPA reversed the Board's rejection on the grounds that disclosure of the primary reference was already complete for its intended purpose, so that one of ordinary skill in the art would not have been motivated to use the DMSO of the secondary reference.

The Federal Circuit also addressed this issue in *Winner v. Wang*, 202 F.3d 1340, 1349, 53 U.S.P.Q.2d 1580, 1586 (Fed. Cir. 2000) when it held that there was no motivation to combine the primary reference (Johnson) with the secondary reference (Moore) because there was "no apparent disadvantage" to the device taught by Johnson. *See Winner*, 202 F3d at 1349, 53 U.S.P.Q.2d at 1587.

Applying the standard of the *Herschler* and *Winner* case law discussed above, it is improper to combine the teachings of Cochrum with Vournakis. Accordingly, the rejection of the instant claims over Cochrum over Vournakis is in error.

Neither Veech nor Male remedy the deficiencies of Cochrum alone or in combination with Vournakis. As discussed *supra*, neither teaches nor relates to use or manufacture of chitin, chitosan or pGlcNAc polymers or to the use or manufacture of preserved platelets.

For the foregoing reasons, Applicants submit that the rejection of claims 1-11, 15, 17 and 18 over Okamoto or Cochrum in combination with Vournakis in view of Veech and Male is in error and should be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully request entry of the foregoing remarks into the file of the above-identified application. Applicants believe that all the pending claims are in condition for allowance. Withdrawal of the Examiner's rejections and allowance of the application are respectfully requested.

Date: February 13, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

by: Laura Coruzzi

Laura A. Coruzzi

JONES DAY
222 East 41st Street
New York, New York 10017
(212) 326-3939

by: R/SJ 52,865
RICHARD SUMON

30,742

(Reg. No.)

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Ninth New Collegiate Dictionary

A Merriam-Webster®

MERRIAM-WEBSTER INC., *Publishers*
Springfield, Massachusetts, U.S.A.



A GENUINE MERRIAM-WEBSTER

The name *Webster* alone is no guarantee of excellence. It is used by a number of publishers and may serve mainly to mislead an unwary buyer.

A *Merriam-Webster*® is the registered trademark you should look for when you consider the purchase of dictionaries or other fine reference books. It carries the reputation of a company that has been publishing since 1831 and is your assurance of quality and authority.

Copyright © 1987 by Merriam-Webster Inc.

Philippines Copyright 1987 by Merriam-Webster Inc.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data
Main entry under title:

Webster's ninth new collegiate dictionary.

Based on Webster's third new international dictionary.

Includes index.

I. English language—Dictionaries. I. Merriam-Webster Inc.

PE1628.W5638 1987 423 86-23801

ISBN 0-87779-508-8

ISBN 0-87779-509-6 (indexed)

ISBN 0-87779-510-X (deluxe)

Webster's Ninth New Collegiate Dictionary principal copyright 1983

COLLEGIATE trademark Reg. U.S. Pat. Off.

All rights reserved. No part of this book covered by the copyrights hereon may be reproduced or copied in any form or by any means—graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, taping, or information storage and retrieval systems—without written permission of the publisher.

Made in the United States of America

Editorial Staff

Editor in Chief

Frederick C. Mish

Managing Editor

E. Ward Gilman

Senior Editors

James G. Lowe • Robert D. McHenry
• Roger W. Pease, Jr.

Associate Editors

John K. Bollard • Julie A. Collier
• Robert D. Copeland • Kathleen M. Doherty
• William C. Hale • Grace A. Kellogg
• John M. Morse

Assistant Editors

Michael G. Belanger • Eileen M. Haraty
• Peter D. Haraty • Daniel J. Hopkins
• Karen J. Langridge • Madeline L. Novak
• Stephen J. Perrault

Editorial Assistants

Robin L. Easson • Elizabeth A. Johnson
Jeanne M. Julian • Amy L. Liston
Arden J. Lowe • Michele T. Plourde
• Dru A. Whitten

Editorial Advisor

Hubert P. Kelsey

Librarian

Francine A. Roberts

Departmental Secretary

Helene Gingold

Head of Typing Room

Gloria J. Afflitto

Senior General Clerk

Ruth W. Gaines

Clerks and Typists

Georgette B. Boucher • Karen L. Cormier
• Florence J. Cressotti • Jean M. Fitzgerald
• Patricia M. Jensen • Joan M. Lancour
• Frances W. Muldrew • Mildred C. Paquette
• Barbara A. Winkler

Merriam-Webster Incorporated

William A. Llewellyn, President and Publisher

life, animation, or gaiety: BORING b: marked by reduced sales or patronage (business was ~) — **slow-ish** \slō-ish/ *adj* — **slow-ness** *n*

slow adv (1500): **SLOWLY**

usage Some commentators claim that careful writers avoid the adverb *slow*, in spite of the fact that it has over four centuries of usage behind it (have a continent forbearance till the speed of his rage goes slower — Shak.). In actual practice, *slow* and *slowly* are not used in quite the same way. *Slow* is almost always used with verbs that denote movement or action, and it regularly follows the verb it modifies (beans are best cooked long and slow — Louise Prothro). *Slowly* is used before the verb (a sense of outrage, which slowly changed to shame — Paul Horgan) and with participial adjectives (a slowly dawning awareness . . . of the problem — Amer. Labor). *Slowly* is used after verbs where *slow* might also be used (burn slow or slowly) and after verbs where *slow* would be unidiomatic (the leadership turned slowly toward bombing as a means of striking back — David Halberstam).

slow vt (1557): to make slow or slower: slacken the speed of (~ a car) — often used with *down* or *up* ~ *vi*: to go or become slower (production of new cars ~ed sharply) *syn* see DELAY

slow-down \slō-dān/ *n* (1897): a slowing down (a business ~)
slow-footed \slō-fū-təd/ *adj* (1642): moving at a very slow pace: PLODDING (a ~ novel) (a ~ ship) — **slow-footed-ness** *n*
slowly \slō-adv/ (14c): in a slow manner: not quickly, fast, early, rashly, or readily

slow match *n* (ca. 1802): a match or fuse made so as to burn slowly and evenly and used for firing (as of blasting charges)

slow-motion \slō-mō-shən/ *adj* (1923): of, relating to, or being motion-picture or video photography in which the action that has been photographed is made to appear to occur slower than it actually occurred (a ~ replay); also: slowly moving (a ~ dance)

slow-motion *n* (1923): slow-motion photography
slow-pitch \slō-pīch/ *n* (1967): softball which is played with 10 players on each side and in which each pitch must have an arc 3 to 10 feet high and base stealing is not allowed

slow-poke \slō-pōk/ *n* [slow + poke (annoyingly stupid person)] (1848): a very slow person

slow virus *n* (1954): a virus with a long incubation period between infection and development of the degenerative disease (as multiple sclerosis, rheumatoid arthritis, or kuru) associated with it

slow-witted \slō-wī-təd/ *adj* (1571): mentally slow: DULL

slow-worm \slō-wōrm/ *n* [ME *slowworm*, fr. OE *slāwurm*, fr. *slā* (akin to *Sw* *slā* earthworm) + *wurm* (worm)] (bef. 12c): any of several small burrowing limbless lizards with minute eyes; esp.: a European lizard (*Anguis fragilis*) popularly believed to be blind — called also *blindworm*

slub \sləb/ *v* slubbed; *slubbing* [back-formation fr. *slubbing*] (1834): to draw out and twist (as slivers of wool) slightly

slub (1851): **SLUBBING**
slub-ber \sləb-ər/ *v* slub-bered; *slub-ber-ing* \-b(ə)-rɪŋ/ [prob. fr. obs. D *slubberen*] (1530): 1 *dial chiefly Eng*: STAIN, SULLY 2: to perform in a slipshod fashion

slubbing \sləb-ɪŋ/ *n* [origin unknown] (1779): slightly twisted roving
sludge \slʌdʒ/ *n* [prob. alter. of *slush*] (1649): 1: MUD, MIRE; esp.: a muddy deposit (as on a riverbed): OOZE 2: a muddy or slushy mass, deposit, or sediment; as: a: precipitated solid matter produced by water and sewage treatment processes b: muddy sediment in a steam boiler c: a precipitate or settling (as a mixture of impurities and acid) from a mineral oil 3: new sea ice forming in thin detached crystals 4: SLUSH 6

sludgy \slʌdʒ-i/ *adj* *sludgier*; -est (1782): containing or full of sludge
slue \slū/ *v* *var* of SLOUGH

slue *var* of SLEW
slue *n* (1860): 1: position or inclination after sluing 2: SKID 5

slung \slʌŋ/ *n* [ME *slugge*, of Scand origin; akin to Norw *slugga* to walk sluggishly; akin to ME *slour* mud — more at SLUR] (15c): 1: SLUGGARD 2: a lump, disk, or cylinder of material (as plastic or metal); as: a (1): a musket ball (2): BULLET b: a piece of metal roughly shaped for subsequent processing c: a \$50 gold piece d: a disk for insertion in a slot machine; esp.: one used illegally instead of a coin 3: any of numerous chiefly terrestrial pulmonate gastropods (family Limacidae) that are found in most parts of the world where there is a reasonable supply of moisture and are closely related to the land snails but are long and wormlike and have only a rudimentary shell often buried in the mantle or entirely absent 4: a smooth soft larva of a sawfly or moth that creeps like a mollusk 5: a quantity of liquor drunk in one swallow: SHOT b: a detached mass of fluid (as water vapor or oil) that causes impact (as in a circulating system) 6: a strip of metal thicker than a printer's lead b: a line of type cast as one piece c: a usu. temporary type line serving to instruct or identify 7: the gravitational unit of mass in the foot-pound-second system to which a pound force can impart an acceleration of one foot per second per second

slug vt slugged; *slug-ging* (1912): to add a printer's slug to
slug n [perh. fr. *slug* (to load with slugs)] (1830): a heavy blow esp. with the fist

slug vt slugged; *slug-ging* (1861): 1: to strike heavily with or as if with the fist or a bat 2: FIGHT 4b — usu. used in the phrase *slug it out*

slug-able \slʌg-ə-bəl/ *n* (1592): one who stays in bed after his usual or proper time of getting up; broadly: SLUGGARD

slug-fest \slʌg-fest/ *n* (ca. 1916): a fight marked by the exchange of heavy blows; also: a heated dispute (a vocal ~)

slug-gard \slʌg-ərd/ *n* [ME *sluggard*] (14c): an habitually lazy person
slug-gardly \slʌg-ərd-lē/ *adj* (1593): SLUGGARDLY — **slug-gard-ness** *n*

slug-gardly \slʌg-ərd-lē/ *adj* (1865): lazily inactive
slug-ger \slʌg-ər/ *n* (1877): one that strikes hard or with heavy blows: as: a prizefighter who punches hard but has usu. little defensive skill b: a hard-hitting batter in baseball

slugging average *n* (1969): the ratio (as a rate per thousand) of the total number of bases reached on base hits to official times at bat for a baseball player — called also *slugging percentage*

slug-ish \slʌg-ɪʃ/ *adj* (15c): 1: averse to activity or exertion: INDOLENT; also: TORPID 2: slow to respond (as to stimulation or treatment) 3: a: markedly slow in movement, flow, or growth b: economically inactive or slow — **slug-gish-ly** *adv* — **slug-gish-ness** *n*

sluice \slūs/ *n* [alter. of ME *sluse*, fr. MF *escluse*, fr. LL *exclusa*, fr. L *excludere*, pp. of *excludere* to exclude] (14c): 1: an artificial passage for water (as in a millstream) fitted with a valve or gate for stopping or regulating flow b: a body of water pent up behind a floodgate 2: a dock gate: FLOODGATE 3: a: a stream flowing through a floodgate b: a channel to drain or carry off surplus water 4: a long inclined trough usu. on the ground (as for floating logs); esp.: such a contrivance paved usu. with riffles to hold quicksilver for catching gold

sluice vt sluiced; *sluic-ing* *vi* (1593): 1: to draw off by or through a sluice 2: a: to wash with or in water running through or from a sluice b: to drench with a sudden flow: FLUSH 3: to transport (as logs) in a sluice ~ *vi*: to pour as if from a sluice

sluice-way \slū-swā/ *n* (1779): an artificial channel into which water is let by a sluice

sluicy \slū-sē/ *adj* (1697): falling copiously or in streams: STREAMING
slum \sləm/ *n*, often *attrib* [origin unknown] (1825): a densely populated urban area marked by crowding, dirty run-down housing, poverty, and social disorganization

slum vt slummed; *slum-ming* (1884): to visit slums esp. out of curiosity; broadly: to go somewhere or do something that might be considered beneath one's station — **slum-mer** *n*

slumber \sləm-bər/ *v* slumbered; *slumber-ing* \-b(ə)-rɪŋ/ [ME *slumberen*, freq. of *slumen* to doze, prob. fr. *slume* slumber, fr. OE *slūma*; perh. akin to Lith *slugiti* to diminish] (13c): 1: to sleep lightly: OOZE b: SLEEP 2: a: to be in a torpid, slothful, or negligent state b: to lie dormant or latent — **slumber-er** \-bər-ər/ *n*

slumber (14c): 1: SLEEP b: a light sleep 2: LETHARGY, TORPOR
slumber-ous or **slumberous** \sləm-b(ə)-rəs/ *adj* (15c): 1: heavy with sleep: SLEEPY 2: inducing slumber: SOPORIFIC 3: marked by or suggestive of a state of sleep or lethargy (a ~ peace pervaded every province — Pearl Buck)

slumber party *n* (1925): an overnight gathering esp. of teenage girls usu. at one of their homes

slumber-y \sləm-b(ə)-rē/ *adj*, archaic (14c): SLUMBEROUS
slum-gul-lion \sləm-gal-yən, sləm-/ *n* [perh. fr. *slum* (slumie) + E *dial* *gullion* (mud, cesspool)] (1902): a meat stew

slum-lord \sləm-lōrd/ *n* [slum + landlord] (ca. 1954): a landlord who receives unusually large profits from substandard properties

slum-my \sləm-ē/ *adj* *slum-mier*; -est (1873): of, relating to, or suggestive of a slum (~ streets)

slump \slʌmp/ *v* [prob. of Scand origin; akin to Norw *slumpa* to fall; akin to L *labi* to slide — more at SLEEP] (1677): 1: to fall or sink suddenly b: to drop or slide down suddenly: COLLAPSE (~ed to the floor) 2: to assume a drooping posture or carriage: SLOUCH 3: to go into a slump (sales ~ed)

slump n (1887): 1: a marked or sustained decline esp. in economic activity or prices b: a period of poor or losing play by a team or individual (one spring I was in a batting ~ — Ted Williams) 2: a downward slide of a mass of rock or land

slump-flation \slʌmp-flā-shən/ *n* [slump + inflation] (1974): a state or period of combined economic decline and rising inflation

slung past and past part of SLING
slung-shot \slʌŋ-shət/ *n* (1842): a striking weapon consisting of a small mass of metal or stone fixed on a flexible handle or strap

slunk past and past part of SLINK
slur \slər/ *n* [obs. E *dial* *slur* thin mud, fr. ME *slour*; akin to MHG *slur* mud, Lith *slugiti* to diminish] (1609): 1: an insulting or disparaging remark or innuendo: ASPERSION b: a shaming or degrading effect: STAIN, STIGMA 2: a blurred spot in printed matter: SMUDGE

slur vt slurried; *slur-ring* *vi* (1660): 1: to cast aspersions on: DISPARAGE 2: to make indistinct: OBSCURE ~ *vi*: to slip so as to cause a slur — used of a sheet being printed

slur vt slurried; *slur-ring* [prob. fr. LG *slurven* to shuffle; akin to ME *slour* mud] *vi* (1660): 1: to slide or slip over without due mention, consideration, or emphasis (slurred over certain facts) b: to perform hurriedly: SKIMP (let him not ~ his lesson — R. W. Emerson) 2: to perform (successive tones of different pitch) in a smooth or connected manner 3: a: to reduce, make a substitution for, or omit (sounds that would normally occur in an utterance) b: to utter with such reduction, substitution, or omission of sounds (his speech was slurred to an indistinct murmur) ~ *vi* 1 *dial chiefly Eng*: SLIP, SLIDE 2: DRAG, SHUFFLE

slur n (ca. 1801): 1: a curved line connecting notes to be sung to the same syllable or performed without a break b: the combination of two or more slurred tones 2: a slurring manner of speech

slurp \slɜrp/ *v* [D *slurpen*; akin to MLG *slorpen* to slurp] *vi* (1648): to make a sucking noise while eating or drinking ~ *vi*: to eat or drink noisily or with a sucking sound — **slurp n**

slurry \slər-ē, slə-rē/ *n*, pl *slurries* [ME *slory*] (15c): a watery mixture of insoluble matter (as mud, lime, or plaster of paris)

slurry vt slurried; *slur-ry-ing* (1947): to convert into a slurry
slush \slʌʃ/ *n* [perh. of Scand origin; akin to Norw *slusk* slush] (1641): 1: a: partly melted or watery snow b: loose ice crystals formed during the early stages of freezing of salt water 2: soft mud: MIRE b: grout made of portland cement, sand, and water 3: refuse grease and fat from cooking esp. on shipboard 4: a soft mixture of grease or oil and other materials for protecting the surface of metal parts against corrosion; esp.: a mixture of white lead and lime for painting the bright parts of machines to preserve them from oxidation 5: paper pulp in water suspension 6: trashy and usu. cheaply sentimental material 7: unsolicited writings submitted (as to a magazine) for publication

slush vt (1807): 1: to wet, splash, or paint with slush 2: to fill in (as joints) with slush or grout ~ *vi* 1: to make one's way through slush 2: to make a splashing sound

\ə/ abut \ʃ/ kitten, F table \ɔr/ further \ə/ ash \ə/ ace \ə/ cot; cart
\aʊ/ out \ch/ chin \el/ bet \e/ easy \ə/ go \v/ hit \ʌ/ ice \ʊ/ job
\ɪ/ sing \ə/ go \d/ law \dɔ/ boy \th/ thin \th/ the \u/ foot \ə/ foot
V \et \zh/ vision \ə/ b, c, œ, ō, u, ū, ʏ see Guide to Pronunciation